

COPY

Before the
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

FILED

AUG 03 2012

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of)
NeuStar, Inc., on Behalf of the Indiana) Case No. 44233
Telecommunications Industry, For Approval of)
NPA Relief Plan for the 812 NPA)

**PETITION OF THE
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN ADMINISTRATOR
ON BEHALF OF THE INDIANA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY FOR
RELIEF OF THE 812 NPA**

NeuStar, Inc., the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (“NANPA”), in its role as the neutral third party NPA Relief Planner for Indiana under the North American Numbering Plan and on behalf of the Indiana telecommunications industry (“Industry”),¹ petitions the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“Commission”)² to approve the Industry’s consensus decision³ to recommend to the Commission an all services distributed overlay (referred to as Alternative #1) of the 812 numbering plan area (“NPA”) as the preferred form of relief for the 812 NPA.⁴ The Industry submits its recommendations to the Commission based upon NANPA’s projections that absent NPA relief, the supply of central office codes (often referred to as “CO” or “NXX” codes) for

¹ The Industry is composed of current and prospective telecommunications carriers operating in, or considering operations within, the 812 area code of Indiana.

² The Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) delegated authority to review and approve NPA relief plans to the states. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 52.19.

³ Consensus as used in this document means: Consensus is established when substantial agreement has been reached among those participating in the issue at hand. Substantial agreement means more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimous agreement. Operating Procedures for ATIS Forums and Committees, §7.1 (ATIS Feb. 22, 2012).

⁴ As the neutral third party administrator, NANPA has no independent view regarding the relief option selected by the Industry.

the 812 NPA will exhaust during the second quarter of 2015.⁵ In order to allow sufficient time for completion of the selected relief plan prior to exhaust of CO codes in the 812 NPA and to fully implement customer education plans, the Industry recommends that the Commission approve the recommended 13-month implementation schedule if the Commission approves the overlay alternative. In support of this petition and on behalf of the Industry, NANPA submits the following:

I. BACKGROUND

Relief planning for the 812 NPA began in 2006 when the October 2005 NRUF report indicated that the 812 NPA would exhaust first quarter 2009⁶. Due to the projected exhaust, NANPA notified the Industry on January 24, 2006 that NPA relief needed to be addressed.⁷ The Industry met via conference call on March 29, 2006 to discuss various relief alternatives.⁸ Pursuant to the NPA Relief Planning Guidelines, NANPA distributed an Initial Planning Document (“IPD”) to the Industry prior to the relief planning meeting. The IPD contained descriptions, maps, general facts and assumptions, and the projected lives of an all-services distributed overlay relief alternative, three two-way geographic

⁵ 2012-1 NRUF and NPA Exhaust Analysis (April 2012) (“April 2012 NRUF Report”). The April 2012 NRUF Report can be accessed at <http://www.NANPA.com>.

⁶ 2005 NRUF and NPA Exhaust Analysis October 2005 Update (“2005 NRUF Report”).

⁷ In order to plan for the introduction of new area codes, NANPA and the Industry utilize the NPA Code Relief Planning & Notification Guidelines (ATIS-0300061, Sep. 2011) (“NPA Relief Planning Guidelines”). The NPA Relief Planning Guidelines assist NANPA, the Industry and Regulatory Authorities within a particular geographic NPA in the planning and execution of relief efforts. The NPA Relief Planning Guidelines can be accessed on the ATIS web site located at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

⁸ A copy of the March 29 meeting minutes, including a list of attendees, is attached as Exhibit B.

split alternatives, and one concentrated overlay alternative.⁹ During the relief planning meeting, Industry members proposed two additional concentrated overlay alternatives.

During the relief planning meeting, the Industry members evaluated the seven relief alternatives, described more fully below:

- Alternative #1 – All Services Distributed Overlay: A new NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area as the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing would be required by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected rate areas. Alternative #1 has a projected life of 71 years.¹⁰
- Alternative #2 – Two-Way Geographic Split: The split boundary line runs along rate center boundaries generally north to south. The boundary line runs west of Gosport, Ellettsville, Stanford, Bedford, Mitchell, Orleans, Paoli, English and Leavenworth. The western area is referred to as Area A and has a projected NPA life of 60 years to exhaust. Area B, the eastern portion has a projected NPA life of 84 years. This alternative creates an imbalance in projected lives of each of the proposed NPAs and therefore violates the NPA Relief Planning Guidelines which state that severe imbalances of more than 10 years are to be avoided.¹¹
- Alternative #3 – Two-Way Geographic Split: The split boundary line runs along rate center boundaries generally east to west. The boundary line runs south of Oakton and Lyons and turns west of Elnora, Plainville, and Montgomery. The boundary line

⁹ A copy of the IPD is attached as Attachment 2 to Exhibit B.

¹⁰ The projected lives of the relief alternatives listed here have been updated and are based upon data from the April 2012 NRUF Report.

¹¹ NPA Relief Planning Guidelines at §5.0(h).

continues south of Loogootee, Shoals, French Lick, and Paoli and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington. The northern area is referred to as Area A and has a projected NPA life of 67 years to exhaust. Area B, the southern portion has a projected NPA life of 76 years.

- Alternative #4 – Two-Way Geographic Split: The split boundary line runs along rate center boundaries generally east to west. The boundary line runs south of Oakton, Lyons, and Owensburg and turns west of Crane, Trinity, Williams, Shoals, and Dubois. The boundary line continues south of French Lick and Paoli and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington. The northern area is referred to as Area A and has a projected NPA life of 71 years to exhaust. Area B, the southern portion has a projected NPA life of 71 years.
- Alternative #5 – Concentrated Overlay: A concentrated overlay is a relief alternative in which a new NPA code would be assigned to only certain rate centers in the same geographic area occupied by the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing would be required by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected rate areas. Those customers not located within the overlay would retain seven-digit dialing until the remaining 812 NXX codes were assigned. The overlay then would be extended to all rate centers in the 812 NPA. All remaining 812 NXX codes would be assigned only to the area outside of the overlay. At exhaust of the 812 NPA all future code assignments will be made in the overlay area code. As specifically proposed for Alternative #5, the concentrated overlay boundary line runs along rate center boundaries generally in a northwestern to southeastern direction. The boundary line runs north of Bruceville,

Bicknell, and Plainville and turns east of Montgomery, Alfordsville Glendale, Dubois, Birdseye, St Anthony, and Bandon. The overlay area, referred to as Area A is south west of the boundary line. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months. The projected time before the need for expansion of the overlay is 4.0 years. The projected life of the area code is 71 years.

- Alternative #6 – Concentrated Overlay: The industry proposed boundary line is the same as Alternative #5 but also includes Plainville rate center in Area B. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months. The projected lives are the same as Alternative #5: 4.0 years before expansion of the overlay and 71 years for the entire overlay.
- Alternative #7 – Concentrated Overlay: The industry proposed boundary line is the same as alternative #6 with Plainville rate center in Area B but also includes the following additional 25 rate centers in Area A: Campbellsburg, Pekin, Henryville, New Washington, Salem, Leavenworth, Corydon, Lanesville, Charlestown, Sellersburg, Galena, New Albany, New Middletown, Elizabeth, Central, Georgetown, Marengo, Palmyra, Ramsey, English, Miltown, Crandall, Laconia, Fredericksburg, Wickliffe. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months. The projected lives are 5.6 years before expansion of the overlay and 71 years for the entire overlay.

At the March 29, 2006 meeting, the participants discussed the attributes of the relief alternatives and reached consensus to recommend to the Commission for

consideration Alternative #1, the all-services distributed overlay plan as the preferred method of relief for the 812 NPA.¹²

The Industry members discussed the pros and cons of each alternative and reached consensus to eliminate all alternatives except for Alternative #1, the all-services overlay.¹³ The Industry reached consensus to eliminate Alternatives #2, #3 and #4, the two-way geographic splits, because they would require approximately half the customers to change their telephone numbers and affected businesses to change their advertising to accommodate the new telephone numbers. The boundary lines divide EAS routes, the projected lives of the proposed NPAs are somewhat unbalanced and the proposed life of Area A is less than the projected life for the overlay alternatives. In eliminating Alternative #3, the Industry noted that the boundary line would divide certain exchanges for existing host remote configurations. Also, the time zone change discrepancy would affect both sides of the split line for Alternative #3 and #4. The Industry rejected the concentrated overlays, Alternatives #5, #6, and #7 because the alternatives offer only a very short time delay before the expansion of the overlay is required. Implementing essentially two overlays within a short time will require two phases of customer education thereby increasing the opportunity for customer confusion. Service providers will need to implement two full relief efforts. Also, a concentrated overlay would require difficult and complicated translations for service providers who use one switch to service multiple NPAs. The overlay boundary line splits host-remote arrangements and EAS routes. Additionally, the time zone change discrepancy would affect customers in both

¹² See Attachment #2 to Exhibit B for a list of Industry agreed upon attributes of geographic splits and overlays.

¹³ A complete list of the Industry's pros and cons for each alternative is listed in Exhibit B.

area codes. Specifically for Alternative #7, the Industry noted this concentrated overlay would require more customers initially to dial ten digits and would split the time zones of Oakton, Sandburg and Freelandville.

After reaching consensus on the recommended relief plan, the Industry decided to delay filing the petition with the Commission. Subsequently, the Industry met via conference call on June 13, 2012 after the April 2012 NRUF report was published (a trigger the Industry established in a previous meeting on November 10, 2011 to consider filing the pending petition for relief) and, based upon the projected exhaust date, decided to file the updated petition for relief with the Commission.¹⁴

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMMENDED RELIEF ALTERNATIVE

Alternative #1, the all-services distributed overlay, would superimpose a new NPA over the same geographic area covered by the existing 812 NPA. All existing customers would retain the 812 area code and would not have to change their telephone numbers. The projected life of the NPA is 71 years. Consistent with FCC regulations, customers will dial 10 digits for all local calls.¹⁵

The table below sets forth the Industry recommended dialing plan for Alternative #1:

Overlay Dialing Plan for Alternative # 1:

Type of Call	Call Terminating in	Dialing Plan
Local call	Home NPA (HNPA) or Foreign NPA (FNPA)	10-digits (NPA-NXX-XXXX)*
Toll Call	HNPA or FNPA	1+10-digits (1+NPA-NXX-XXXX)
Operator Services	HNPA or FNPA	0+10-digits (0+NPA-NXX-XXXX)

* 1 + 10 digit dialing for all HNPA and FNPA calls also permissible at each service provider’s discretion.

¹⁴ A copy of the June 13, 2012 meeting minutes is attached as Exhibit A.

¹⁵ 47 C.F.R. §52.19(c)(3)(ii).

Industry participants reached consensus to recommend to the Commission a 13-month schedule for implementation of the overlay. The recommended schedule is as follows:

Intervals for Alternative # 1 – Overlay


EVENT	TIMEFRAME
Total Implementation Interval	13 months
Network Preparation and Customer Education Period	6 months
Permissive 10-Digit Dialing and Customer Education Period <i>(Calls within 812 NPA can be dialed using 7 or 10 digits)</i> Mandatory dialing period begins at the end of the Permissive Dialing Period	6 months
First Code Activation after end of Permissive dialing period. <i>(Effective date for codes from the new NPA)</i>	1 month (after Mandatory Dialing Period)

The Industry requests that the Commission approve this timeline in order to ensure the timely implementation of relief and to facilitate customer education.

III. CONCLUSION

The Industry respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order approving the Industry's recommended method and schedule for relief for the 812 NPA.

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberly Wheeler Miller
Assistant General Counsel
NeuStar, Inc.
1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., 4th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 533-2912 (phone)
(202) 533-2972 (fax)
Kimberly.miller@neustar.biz

Wayne Milby
Senior NPA Relief Planner
North American Numbering Plan Administrator
NeuStar, Inc.
8385 Yahley Mill Road
Richmond, VA 23231
(804) 795-5919

August 1, 2012

EXHIBIT A

**INDIANA – 812 NPA
RELIEF PLANNING FOLLOW-UP MEETING
FINAL MEETING MINUTES
June 13, 2012**

WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS & PURPOSE OF MEETING

Wayne Milby, Senior NPA Relief Planner – NANPA, opened the meeting with introductions and the objective of the meeting. A list of attendees can be found in Attachment 1.

PURPOSE OF THE CALL

Wayne recapped the outcome of the Industry follow-up relief planning meeting held November 10, 2011 at which the Industry reached consensus to delay filing the relief petition for the 812 NPA with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“IURC”). Furthermore, it was agreed that NANPA would reconvene the Industry approximately 30 days after the publication of the April 2012 Number Resource Utilization Forecast (“NRUF”) to review the status of the 812 NPA numbering resources and determine if the petition needs to be filed with the IURC. If at that time it is determined the petition should be filed, the draft petition will be reviewed and updated by the Industry for filing by NANPA on behalf of the Industry with the IURC. It was further agreed that if a significant number of NXX codes have been assigned prior to release of the April 2012 NRUF reducing the remaining codes to 40 available, NANPA would convene an industry meeting.

The April 2012 NRUF IN 812 projected exhaust date of 2Q2015 remained the same as the October 2011 NRUF. The numbering resources currently available for assignment are 51 NXX codes and 2,287 thousand blocks per attached reports.

REVIEW CONSENSUS PROCESS, MEETING MINUTES AND STATEMENTS FOR THE RECORD

Wayne stated that the ATIS (Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions) approved industry consensus process would be followed. He briefly reviewed the consensus process and explained the method by which consensus is determined. In addition, Wayne stated the minutes would be comprised of consensus items and statements for the record can be made at anytime during the meeting.

CO CODE ADMINISTRATION STATUS OF THE INDIANA 812 NPA

Wayne provided a read-out of the monthly CO Code Assignment Activity for the Indiana 812 NPA (See Attachment 2). He reported as of June 12, 2012, there were 51 NXX codes available for assignment, 730 assigned NXX codes, and 19 unavailable NXX codes. Wayne added the annual NXX assignment history in the 812 NPA has been as follows:

2008 assignments: 9 codes less 3 returns = 6 net

2009 assignments: 10 codes less 2 returns = 8 net

2010 assignments: 12 codes less 1 return = 11 net

2011 assignments: 16 codes less 3 returns = 13 net

2012 assignments year to date: 1 code – 3 returns = -2 net

POOLING ADMINISTRATION STATUS OF THE INDIANA 812 NPA

Cecilia McCabe, NeuStar Pooling, reported that pooling commenced February 20, 2003, there are 171 rate centers (RCs), 145 RCs are mandatory pooling, 26 RCs are mandatory with only one service provider, 0 RCs are optional pooling and 0 RCs are excluded from pooling. As of June 12, 2012, in the past twelve months 162 blocks have been assigned and there are 2321 blocks available for assignment. Pooling has assigned 11 codes in the last twelve months; 4 for pool replenishment, 0 for dedicated customers and 7 for LRNs. The forecasted need for codes for the next twelve months is 1 code; 1 for pool replenishment and dedicated customers and 0 for LRNs. (See Attachment 3)

REVIEW AND UPDATING OF 2006 PETITION

Discussion ensued regarding the need to file a relief petition with the IURC for the 812 NPA. A proposal was made and consensus reached to file the 812 relief petition for an overlay with the Commission informing them of the outcome of this meeting. The industry reviewed and updated the May 2006 petition to reflect the current situation.

IN 812 RELIEF ALTERNATIVE LIVES RECALCULATED

The industry reviewed the recalculated lives in the following table that set forth the projected lives of the alternatives as originally calculated in 2005 by NANPA and as recalculated using the most recent forecast data. These updated lives will be included in the updated petition.

IN 812 NPA

	Type of Relief	Originally Projected Lives – October 2005	Updated Projected Lives – April 2012
Alt. #1	All Services Overlay	25 years	71 years
Alt. #2	Geographic Split	Area A: 21 years Area B: 29 years	Area A: 60 years Area B: 84 years
Alt. #3	Geographic Split	Area A: 22 years Area B: 28 years	Area A: 67 years Area B: 76 years
Alt. #4	Geographic Split	Area A: 23 years Area B: 26 years	Area A: 71 years Area B: 71 years
Alt. #5	Concentrated Overlay	3.5 years before expansion	4.0 years before expansion
Alt. #6	Concentrated Overlay	3.5 years before expansion	4.0 years before expansion
Alt. #7	Concentrated Overlay	4.7 years before expansion	5.6 years before expansion

MINUTES REVIEW

Consensus was reached that the draft minutes resulting from this meeting will be distributed to the industry by June 27, 2012 and the draft relief petition distributed to the industry by July 11, 2012. Consensus was also reached to conduct a conference call on July 18, 2012 to review and approve the draft minutes and draft filing to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. Details of the call are as follows:

Date: July 18, 2012

Time: 2:00 PM ET; 1:00 PM CT; 12:00 PM MT; 11:00 AM PT

Dial-in number: 630-827-6799

Pass code: 8831535#

To join the online meeting (Now from mobile devices!)

1. Go to
<https://neustar.webex.com/neustar/j.php?ED=201808767&UID=1359357722&PW=NNmI5MzA5Nzk1&RT=MIMxMQ%3D%3D>
2. If requested, enter your name and email address.
3. If a password is required, enter the meeting password: 5555
4. Click "Join".

Adjourn

###

These minutes became final on July 18, 2012.

**812 NPA – INDIANA
Relief Planning Follow-up Meeting
VIA CONFERENCE CALL
June 13, 2012**

PARTICIPANTS

NAME	COMPANY
Maryann Mackey	AT&T
Bruce Bennett	CenturyLink
Randy Kiesel	Cinergy Metronet
Dena Hunter	Cricket Communications
Kathleen Kelley	Frontier
Ron Keen	IOUCC
Sally Getz	IURC
John Koppin	Indiana Telecom Association
Tom Foley	NANPA Relief Planning
Wayne Milby	NANPA Relief Planning
Al Cipparone	NANPA NRUF
Cecilia McCabe	NeuStar Pooling Imp
Linda Hymans	NeuStar Pooling Regulatory
Martha Niehaus	Perry-Spencer RTC - PSC
Shaunna Forshee	Sprint Nextel
Karen Riepenkroger	Sprint Nextel
Anne Chism	TDS Telecom
Paul Nejedlo	TDS Telecom
Charlotte Holden	T-Mobile
Natalie McNamer	T-Mobile
Tom Pease	TW Telecom
Dana Crandall	Verizon Wireless
Paula Hustead	Windstream
Cindy Nulty	Windstream
Bea McKoy	Windstream

POOLING STATISTICS	
<i>Provided By: Cecilia McCabe</i>	
ST/NPA:	IN 812
MEETING DATE:	6/13/2012
MEETING SUBJECT:	
	<i>Relief Planning</i> X
	<i>Jeopardy</i>
	<i>Jeopardy Status Review</i>
	<i>UA NXXs</i>
	<i>Other</i>
POOL START DATE (PSD)	2/20/2003
RATE CENTERS	
	# Total 171
	# Mandatory 145
	# Mandatory-Single Service Providers (M*) 26
	# Optional 0
	# Excluded 0
BLOCKS ASSIGNED	
	# Total 162
	<i>(For time period 06/01/11-06/12/12)</i>
BLOCKS AVAILABLE	
	#Total 2321
	<i>(As of preparation date: 06/12/12)</i>
CODES ASSIGNED	
	# Total 11
	# for Pool Replenishment 4
	# for Dedicated Customers 0
	# for LRNs 7
	<i>(For time period 06/01/11-06/12/12)</i>
CODES FORECASTED	
	# Total 1
	# for Pool Replenishment and Dedicated Customers 1
	# for LRNs 0
	<i>(For the next twelve months as of 06/12/12)</i>

EXHIBIT B

**INDIANA – 812 NPA
INITIAL RELIEF PLANNING MEETING
VIA CONFERENCE CALL
FINAL MINUTES
March 29, 2006**

WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS & AGENDA REVIEW

Wayne Milby, Senior NPA Relief Planner–NANPA, welcomed the participants and reviewed the objective of the meeting. A list of attendees can be found in Attachment 1. Wayne mentioned there would be a quality survey sent via email to those attending today's call. Wayne then reviewed the agenda and NANPA's roles and responsibilities.

REVIEW CONSENSUS PROCESS AND NPA RELIEF PLANNING GUIDELINES

Wayne stated that the ATIS (Alliance for Telecommunications Solutions) approved industry consensus process would be followed. He reviewed the consensus process and explained how consensus is determined. In addition, Wayne stated that the minutes would be comprised of consensus agreements, and that issues not captured by consensus could be expressed in the form of a Statement for the Record, which could be conveyed at any point during the meeting.

Additionally, Wayne explained that NANPA will proceed with the conference call based on those who announced themselves at the start of the call. During the course of the meeting some participants may, for any reason, choose to drop off the call. Regardless, this will not influence the meeting proceeding according to the agenda. Silence on the part of the participants will be considered agreement with a proposal, based on those on the call at the time consensus is called. If it appears that consensus will be difficult to achieve on a given issue, or if an issue becomes controversial, NANPA or a participant may opt to poll the attendees to see who is still participating.

Wayne reviewed several excerpts from the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) NPA Code Relief and Planning and Notification Guidelines. This document, as well as other relevant NPA assignment and relief guidelines, may be downloaded from the ATIS web site at: (www.atis.org).

PRE-IPD CONFERENCE CALL RE-CAP

Wayne provided a recap of the pre-IPD conference call held February 8, 2006, which included a review of the NANPA developed proposed relief alternatives; one additional relief alternative was recommended prior to the call. Informal meeting notes resulting from the industry conference were posted to NANPA's NNS service on February 13, 2006.

STATUS OF INDIANA 812 NPA

Wayne also reviewed the status of the 812 NPA, which reflects a total of 685 NXX codes currently assigned. As of March 28, 2006, 100 NXX codes remain available for

assignment in the 812 NPA, while 15 additional NXX codes are classified as unavailable. (See Attachment 2) The October 2005 Number Resource Utilization Forecast (NRUF) projects exhaust of the 812 NPA during the first quarter 2009. Wayne stated this forecast is expected to move out a few quarters with the next forecast publication on April 30, 2006.

Wayne mentioned an Unassignable Codes meeting was held in 2003 and that is the reason there are only 15 unassignable codes in this NPA

The annual NXX code assignment history in the 812 NPA has been as follows:

2003 assignments: 23 codes

2004 assignments: 10 codes

2005 assignments: 13 codes

Wayne mentioned there were 3 codes assigned in January, 3 codes assigned in February and 2 in March. This is exactly in line with the NRUF projected assignment rate of 32 codes per year.

STATUS OF ONE-THOUSAND-BLOCK POOLING IN 812 NPA

Tim Booth, NeuStar Number Pooling Implementation Manager, stated number pooling started in the 812 NPA on February 20, 2003. Out of the 171 rate centers, there are 41 rate centers that are mandatory pooling, 7 mandatory-single service provider rate centers, 97 rate centers that are optional pooling and 26 rate centers are excluded from pooling. Since the previous meeting, the number of mandatory single service provider rate centers has decreased by 9 rate centers and the number of mandatory rate centers increased by a the same amount. Two-hundred four blocks have been assigned in the last 12 months and 2,143 blocks are available as of February 23, 2006. The NRUF forecast data now shows that pooling will require 3 codes, 2 for pool replenishment and 1 for an LRN, during the next 12 months. (See Attachment 3)

REVIEW INITIAL PLANNING DOCUMENT (IPD) FOR THE 812 NPA

Wayne reviewed the Initial Planning Document (IPD) distributed prior to the meeting. The 812 NPA was created in January 1947 and has never required area code relief. The NPA covers the southern third of the entire state of Indiana. Four of the top twenty cities in the state are located in the 812 area code. They are Columbus, Evansville, New Albany and Terre Haute. Seventy-nine rate centers have one NXX code assigned, 29 rate centers have two NXX codes assigned and the Evansville rate center has 76 codes assigned.

The IPD included an all services distributed overlay (Alternative #1), three 2-way geographic split alternatives (Alternatives #2, #3 and #4), and a concentrated overlay (Alternative #5).

Wayne asked the industry participants if there were additional suggestions for relief alternatives. There were 2 additional alternatives proposed during the relief planning meeting.

A brief explanation of those additional alternatives is as follows:

Alternative #6 - A suggestion was made to move the boundary line for Alternative #5 to include the Plainville rate center in Area B for an additional concentrated overlay alternative.

The projected lives are the same as Alternative #5: 3.5 years before expansion of the overlay and 25 years for the entire overlay.

Alternative #7 - A suggestion was made to move the boundary line for Alternative #6 to include 25 additional rate centers in Area A for another concentrated overlay alternative. The projected life is: 4.7 years before expansion of the overlay and 25 years for the entire overlay.

Wayne reviewed all the alternatives as follows:

OVERLAY ALTERNATIVE

ALTERNATIVE #1 – ALL SERVICES DISTRIBUTED OVERLAY

A new NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area occupied by the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected area would be required. Codes in the overlay NPA will be assigned upon request with the effective date of the new area code. At exhaust of the 812 NPA all future code assignments will be made in the overlay area code.

Total CO Codes = 669
Total rate centers = 171
Area Code Life in Years = 25

NPA SPLIT ALTERNATIVES

The exhausting NPA is split into two or more geographic areas and a new NPA is assigned to one of the areas formed by the split. All split plans would require ten-digit dialing between NPAs in the same extended local calling area. Within an NPA, seven-digit dialing would be permitted.

ALTERNATIVE #2 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from north to south. The boundary line runs west of Gosport, Ellettsville, Stanford, Bedford, Mitchell, Orleans, Paoli, English and Leavenworth.

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 365
Total rate centers = 92
Area Code Life in Years = 21

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 304
Total rate centers = 79
Area Code Life in Years = 29

ALTERNATIVE #3 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from west to east. The boundary line runs south of Oakton, Lyons, and turns west of Elnora, Plainville and Montgomery. The boundary line continues south of Loogootee, Shoals, French Lick, Paoli, and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 354
Total rate centers = 90
Area Code Life in Years = 22

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 315
Total rate centers = 81
Area Code Life in Years = 28

ALTERNATIVE #4 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from west to east. The boundary line runs south of Oakton, Lyons, Owensburg, and turns west of Crane, Trinity Williams, Shoals and Dubois. The boundary line continues south of French Lick, Paoli, and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 341
Total rate centers = 82
Area Code Life in Years = 23

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 328
Total rate centers = 89
Area Code Life in Years = 26

CONCENTRATED OVERLAY ALTERNATIVE

A new NPA code would be assigned to only certain rate centers in the same geographic area occupied by the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing would be required by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected rate areas. Codes in the overlay NPA will be assigned upon request with the effective date of the new area code. Those customers in the non-overlaid area of the 812 NPA would retain seven-digit dialing until the remaining 812 NXX codes were assigned and the overlay would then be extended to all rate centers in the 812 NPA. All remaining 812 NXX codes would be assigned only to the non-overlaid area. At exhaust of the 812 NPA all future code assignments will be made in the overlay area code.

ALTERNATIVE #5 – CONCENTRATED OVERLAY

This would be a concentrated-overlay distributed across only the rate centers in Area A. The proposed boundary for the concentrated overlay area runs along rate center boundaries from west to east, then north to south. The boundary line runs north of Bruceville, Bicknell, Plainville and turns east of Montgomery, Alfordsville Glendale, Dubois, Birdseye, St Anthony and Bandon. The overlay area inside Area A includes 56

rate centers, with Evansville having the greatest number of NXX codes. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months.

Total CO Codes = 240
Total rate centers = 56
Years before Expansion of Overlay: 3.5
Area Code Life in Years = 25

INDUSTRY RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVES
--

ALTERNATIVE #6 – CONCENTRATED OVERLAY

The industry proposed boundary line is the same as alternative # 5 but also includes Plainville rate center in Area B. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months. The projected lives are the same as Alternative #5: 3.5 years before expansion of the overlay and 25 years for the entire overlay.

ALTERNATIVE #7 – CONCENTRATED OVERLAY

The industry proposed boundary line is the same as alternative # 6 with Plainville rate center in Area B but also includes the following additional 25 rate centers in Area A: Campbellsburg, Pekin, Henryville, New Washington, Salem, Leavenworth, Corydon, Lanesville, Charlestown, Sellersburg, Galena, New Albany, New Middletown, Elizabeth, Central, Georgetown, Marengo, Palmyra, Ramsey, English, Miltown, Crandall, Laconia, Fredericksburg, and Wickliffe. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months. The projected lives are 4.7 years before expansion of the overlay and 25 years for the entire overlay.

Note: copies of the maps for Alternatives # 1 through #7 are attached.

DISCUSSION OF PROS AND CONS OF RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

A general discussion ensued regarding the Pros and Cons of each relief alternative and the industry agreed to the following:

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 1 (Overlay)

Pros:

- 1 All existing customers would retain the 812 area code and would not have to change their telephone numbers.
- 2 One time education effort to the public
- 3 No concerns with EAS routes being split
- 4 Subsequent overlay area codes easy to implement
- 5 Does not impact time zone education

- 6 Does not discriminate against sets of customers on different sides as does a geographic split.
- 7 Treats all customers equally with NPAs and dialing plans
- 8 Prevents major changes to SP billings systems

Cons:

- 1 Consistent with FCC regulations, the relief plan would require 10-digit dialing for all local calls within and between the 812 NPA and the new NPA.
- 2 Potentially requires additional Trunking to 911 selective routers

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 2 (Split)

Pros:

- 1 Maintains seven digit dialing for local calls for the most part
- 2 Time zone changes are all in Area A
- 3 Approximately ½ of customers would experience no change if you keep 812 NPA

Cons:

- 1 Splits EAS routes.
- 2 Projected lives are somewhat imbalanced
- 3 Life is shorter than overlay for Area A
- 4 Requires NPA change for approximately ½ of 812 customers
- 5 Requires businesses to change their advertising for telephone #'s

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 3 (Split)

(Includes the same pros and cons as alternative # 2)

Pros:

- 1 Keeps one NPA for geographic identity

Cons:

- 1 Divides certain exchanges for TDS Telco's existing Host Remote configuration.
- 2 Time zone changes affects both Area A and Area B

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 4 (Split)

(Includes the same pros and cons as alternative # 2 and # 3)

Pros:

- 1 Maintains seven digit dialing for local calls
- 2 Lives have a fairly good balance
- 3 Less disruption to EAS routes

Cons:

- 1 Splits three (3) EAS routes for Century Tel
- 2 Splits two EAS routes for Smithville Telco
- 3 Split line splits time zones

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 5 (Concentrated Overlay)

Pros:

- 1 Does not impact as many customers at once
- 2 Only area of greatest code usage is overlaid (initial impact)

Cons:

- 1 Splits host-remote arrangements for Smithville Telco.
- 2 Very short life before expansion is required
- 3 A number of EAS routes cross this boundary
- 4 Will require two customer education notices, the second when overlay is expanded to cover entire area code; two full relief efforts.
- 5 Customer confusion and education problems since it will have to be expanded in 3.5 years.
- 6 Service providers that use one switch for multiple NPAs: a conc. Overlay is difficult to implement with complicated translations
- 7 Concentrated overlay boundary splits time zone

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 6

(Same pros and cons as alternative # 5)

Pros:

- 1 Fewer EAS routes are split for Century Tel
- 2 Offers more benefits than a split

The following Pros and Cons were listed for Alternative # 7

The same pros and cons as for alternatives # 5 and # 6

Pros:

- 1 Just meets the INC Guideline life of 5 years assuming relief in 12 months
- 2 Offers more benefits than a split

Cons:

- 1 Initially impacts more customers than alt # 5 with 10-D dialing
- 2 Offers fewer benefits than full overlay
- 3 Split time zones of Oaktown, Sandburg and Freelandville.

CONSENSUS ON ELIMINATION OF RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

After discussion and review of the above listed Pros and Cons, proposals were made and consensus reached to eliminate the following Alternatives:

A proposal was made and consensus was reached to eliminate Alternative #4 due to cons listed above.

A proposal was made and consensus was reached to eliminate Alternative #3 due to cons listed above.

A proposal was made and consensus was reached to eliminate Alternative #5, 6 and 7 due to cons listed above.

A proposal was made and consensus was reached to eliminate Alternative #2 due to cons listed above.

CONSENSUS ON RELIEF ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION

After further discussion, a proposal was made and consensus was reached to recommend Alternative #1, the all services distributed overlay alternative, to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“IURC”) as the industry’s preferred method of relief for the 812 NPA.

A proposal was made and consensus reached to delay filing the petition with the IURC and reconvene to review the status of numbering resources and determine when the pending NPA relief petition should be filed approximately one month after publication of the April 2006 NRUF.

ESTABLISH IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

A recommendation was made and consensus reached to recommend the following 13-month implementation intervals described below. It was noted that this proposed implementation schedule is applicable only to the all services overlay relief plan.

Intervals for Alternative # 1 – Overlay:

EVENT	TIMEFRAME
Total Interval	13 months
Network Preparation	6 months
Permissive 10-Digit Dialing and Customer Education Period <i>(Calls within 812 NPA can be dialed using 7 or 10 digits)</i>	6 months

First Code Activation after end of Permissive dialing period. <i>(Effective date for codes from the new NPA)</i>	1 month (after Mandatory)
---	------------------------------

INDUSTRY COMMITMENT FOR TEST NUMBER

There was no volunteer at this time to provide a test number for the purpose of implementing the new NPA. This issue needs to be addressed after the IURC decision.

CONSENSUS ON DIALING PLAN (ALTERNATIVE #1)

After a lengthy discussion consensus was not reached to recommend a specific dialing plan to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission regarding the overlay. The industry will take this issue up again during the minutes review meeting since a service provider needs to internally investigate some cross-state border dialing issues.

During the call to approve the draft minutes, consensus was reached to recommend the following dialing plan:

Overlay Dialing Plan for Alternative # 1:

Type of Call	Call Terminating in	Dialing Plan
Local call	Home NPA (HNPA) or Foreign NPA (FNPA)	10-digits (NPA-NXX-XXXX)*
Toll Call	HNPA or FNPA	1+10-digits (1+NPA-NXX-XXXX)
Operator Services	HNPA or FNPA	0+10-digits (0+NPA-NXX-XXXX)

* 1+10 digit dialing for all HNPA and FNPA calls also permissible at each service provider’s discretion

STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD

The following statement was submitted during the call to approve the draft minutes and decide on the recommended dialing plan for the overlay.

STATEMENT BY ATT

“AT&T believes that a uniform dialing plan with 1 + 10 digits required for ALL calls reduces customer confusion considerably.”

STATEMENTS FOR THE RECORD

The following statements were submitted for the record:

STATEMENT BY SMITHVILLE TELEPHONE COMPANY:

“Smithville opposed eliminating Alternative # 3 NPA split because it is the least intrusive split alternative to the Smithville customers. In the event that the state commission refused the concept of an overlay arrangement, alternative # 3 is Smithville’s preferred NPA Split proposal and would be Smithville’s second choice relief measure.”

STATEMENT BY THE OUCC:

“The Indiana OUCC urges carriers to take reasonable measures to gauge customer preferences for different area code relief alternatives for the 812 NPA.”

NANPA FILING INDUSTRY EFFORTS WITH COMMISSION

Consensus was reached that NANPA will prepare a draft of the petition that will be filed with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“IURC”) informing them of the outcome of this relief meeting. The draft filing will be reviewed by the industry on the conference call that will be held to approve these minutes. The INC guidelines reflect the relief petition is to be filed with the regulator within 6 weeks of the initial relief planning meeting unless otherwise decided by the industry. The industry decided it will review the status of the NPA after the publication of the April 2006 NRUF forecast before it decides when to file the relief petition.

During the call to approve the draft minutes, consensus was reached to delay filing the Petition with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. A meeting will be held approximately 30 days after publication of the October 2006 NRUF to review the status of the 812 NPA numbering resources and determine if the pending relief petition needs to be filed with the Commission. If at that time it is determined the petition should be filed, the draft petition will be reviewed and updated by the industry for filing by NANPA on behalf of the industry with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. It was further agreed that if a significant number of NXX codes have been assigned prior to the release of the October 2006 NRUF reducing the remaining codes to 70 available, NANPA would convene an industry meeting.

REVIEW OF DRAFT MEETING MINUTES & DRAFT COMMISSION FILING

Consensus was reached that the draft minutes resulting from this meeting will be distributed to the industry by April 12, 2006 and the draft commission filing distributed to the industry by April 19, 2006. Consensus was also reached to conduct a conference call to review and approve these minutes, decide on the recommended dialing plan for the overlay as well as approve the draft filing to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission on May 4, 2006. Details of the call are as follows:

Date: Thursday, May 4, 2006

Time: 12:00 PM Eastern; 11:00 AM Central; 9:00 AM Pacific

Dial-in number: 630-827-6799

Pass code: 8973724#

Adjourned

###

**812 NPA – INDIANA
IPD Meeting
VIA CONFERENCE CALL
March 29, 2006**

PARTICIPANTS

NAME	COMPANY
Marcy Baxter	AT&T
George Guerra	AT&T
Carol Tompkins	AT&T
Cyd McInerney	AT&T
Tim O'Meara	AT&T
Renate Howell	AT&T
Cheryl Roach	CenturyTel
Jan Huneke	Cincinnati Bell
Peggy Downing	CCRTC - Clay County Rural
Hal Rees	IOUCC
Brian Mahern	IURC
Brent Cummings	INdigital Telecom
John Koppin	Indiana Telecom Association
Joe Cocke	NANPA Relief Planning
Wayne Milby	NANPA Relief Planning
Linda Hymans	NeuStar Pooling
Tim Booth	NeuStar Pooling Imp.
Martha Niehaus	Perry-Spencer RTC - PSC
Royce Erikson	SEI Communications
Tammy Teague	Smithville Telephone
Beckett Brasher	Smithville Telephone
Gary Baki	Sprint
Karen Riepenkroger	Sprint
Les Kleinrichert	Sprint-United Tel of IN
Charlotte Holden	T-Mobile
Elsamma Mathew	T-Mobile
Bea McKoy	US LEC
Darla Pistulka	Vantage Point Solutions
Jena Downs	Verizon
Catherine Palcic	Verizon
Aubrey Anderson	Verizon
Dana Smith	Verizon Wireless

Indiana
NPA 812 NXX Summary
Data as of March 28, 2006

Attachment 2

<u>NPA</u>	<u>812</u>					
Assigned NXXs	685					
Protected NXXs	0					
Reserved NXXs	0					
Unavailable NXXs	15	See Note				
Available NXXs	100					
Total	800					
<u>Codes Assigned NPA 812</u>	<u>Jan-03</u>	<u>Feb-03</u>	<u>Mar-03</u>	<u>Apr-03</u>	<u>May-03</u>	<u>Jun-03</u>
	7	0	3	0	1	3
	<u>Jul-03</u>	<u>Aug-03</u>	<u>Sep-03</u>	<u>Oct-03</u>	<u>Nov-03</u>	<u>Dec-03</u>
	4	1	2	2	0	0
	<u>Jan-04</u>	<u>Feb-04</u>	<u>Mar-04</u>	<u>Apr-04</u>	<u>May-04</u>	<u>Jun-04</u>
	2	3	0	0	0	0
	<u>Jul-04</u>	<u>Aug-04</u>	<u>Sep-04</u>	<u>Oct-04</u>	<u>Nov-04</u>	<u>Dec-04</u>
	0	3	0	0	0	2
	<u>Jan-05</u>	<u>Feb-05</u>	<u>Mar-05</u>	<u>Apr-05</u>	<u>May-05</u>	<u>Jun-05</u>
	1	2	4	2	0	0
	<u>Jul-05</u>	<u>Aug-05</u>	<u>Sep-05</u>	<u>Oct-05</u>	<u>Nov-05</u>	<u>Dec-05</u>
	2	0	1	1	0	0
	<u>Jan-06</u>	<u>Feb-06</u>	<u>Mar-06</u>			
	3	3	2*			
* As of March 28, 2006						
Note: Unavailable indicates codes that are unavailable for assignment. These codes include, but are not limited to, test and special use codes (e.g., 958, 959, 555, time), N11 and other unique codes (e.g., 976, 950), codes set aside for pooling, and codes with special dialing arrangements (e.g., 7-digit dialing across NPA boundary).						

POOLING STATISTICS REPORT		
<i>Provided By: Tim Booth</i>		
ST/NPA:	Indiana 812 NPA	
MEETING DATE:		
MEETING SUBJECT:		
	<i>Relief Planning</i>	Yes
	<i>Jeopardy</i>	
	<i>Jeopardy Status Review</i>	
	<i>UA NXXs</i>	
	<i>Other</i>	
POOL START DATE (PSD)		
RATE CENTERS		
	<i># Total</i>	171
	<i># Mandatory</i>	41
	<i># Mandatory-Single Service Providers (M*)</i>	7
	<i># Optional</i>	97
	<i># Excluded</i>	26
BLOCKS ASSIGNED		
	<i># Total</i>	204
	<i>(For the Last Twelve Months - 02/24/05 thru 02/23/06)</i>	
BLOCKS AVAILABLE		
	<i>#Total</i>	2143
	<i>(As of preparation date: 02/23/2006)</i>	
CODES ASSIGNED		
	<i># Total</i>	14
	<i># for Pool Replenishment</i>	2
	<i># for Dedicated Customers</i>	0
	<i># for LRNs</i>	12
	<i>(For the Last Twelve Months - 02/24/05 thru 02/23/06)</i>	
CODES FORECASTED		
	<i># Total</i>	3
	<i># for Pool Replenishment and Dedicated Customers</i>	2
	<i># for LRNs</i>	1
	<i>(For Twelve Months: Feb 2006 through Feb 2007)</i>	

Alternative #1 All Services Distributed Overlay



ALTERNATIVE #1	PROJECTED LIVES
Area "A"	25 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries

Alternative #2 NPA Split



ALTERNATIVE #2	PROJECTED LIVES
Area "A"	21 Years
Area "B"	29 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

Alternative #3 NPA Split



ALTERNATIVE_#3	PROJECTED_LIVES
Area "A"	22 Years
Area "B"	28 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

NPA 812 Rate Center Map

Alternative #4 NPA Split



ALTERNATIVE_#4	PROJECTED_LIVES
Area "A"	23 Years
Area "B"	26 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

Alternative #6 Concentrated Overlay



NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

Alternative #7 Concentrated Overlay



PROJECTED_EXHAUST
25 years

4.7 years before Overlay Expansion

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

EXHIBIT B

Attachment 2

February 27, 2006

To: Indiana 812 NPA Code Holders and Other Industry Members

Re: Initial Planning Document to Plan Relief for the 812 NPA in Indiana

As stated in our memo dated January 24, 2006, the 812 area code in Indiana is projected to exhaust during the 1Q2009. Enclosed is the Initial Planning Document (IPD) for your review prior to the March 29, 2006 scheduled industry conference call meeting.

The IPD contains the projected life of several possible relief alternatives. Relief Alternative # 5, which was suggested during the Pre-IPD conference call, has also been incorporated into the IPD. NANPA welcomes the submission of additional relief alternatives from interested parties. While additional relief alternatives will also be accepted during the March meeting, advance submission is recommended to allow interested parties adequate time to review them prior to the meeting.

Accordingly, on March 29, 2006, NANPA will convene an industry NPA relief planning conference call to develop a recommended relief plan for the 812 NPA. The primary objective of this meeting is to review the alternatives included in the IPD, and other industry proposed alternatives, in order to secure industry consensus on a single relief plan for the 812 NPA. The resulting relief plan will be filed with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission for their consideration. The Industry recognized consensus process developed by the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) will be applied in the decision-making efforts.

Because the impacts of NPA relief are so significant, NANPA strongly encourages your participation at the March 29, 2006 meeting. Past experience demonstrates that this could be the only meeting of the industry before a decision is reached on a recommended relief plan. The details of the March meeting are as follows:

Date: March 29, 2006

Time: 11:00 AM Eastern; 10:00 AM Central; 8:00 AM Pacific

Dial-in number: (630) 827-6799

Pass code: 8973724#

Please feel free to distribute this notice to others in the industry that you feel should attend this important NPA relief planning conference call. If you receive this notice from someone else, and would like to receive additional information in the future about the 812 NPA relief, you are encouraged to sign up to NANPA's NAS-NNS by going to www.nanpa.com, then selecting NAS Login and then selecting New Registration and following the sign-up process.

If you plan on attending the NPA Relief Planning conference call on March 29, 2006, please let me know by sending an email to wayne.milby@neustar.biz. This will help us determine if all industry parties will be represented at the 812 NPA relief meeting.

If you have any questions regarding the above relief planning conference call, any aspect of relief planning, or have any questions about receiving notices and documents via NAS-NNS, please do not hesitate to contact me at 804-795-5919. You may also contact Joe Cocke at 805-520-1945, or via email at joe.cocke@neustar.biz.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Wayne Milby". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "D" and "M".

D. Wayne Milby
Senior NPA Relief Planner – NANPA
email: wayne.milby@neustar.biz

C: Brian Mahern – IURC Staff
Harold Rees - IOUCC

Attachments

**INDIANA - NPA 812
INITIAL RELIEF PLANNING MEETING
VIA CONFERENCE CALL**

**WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 2006
11:00 AM – 4:00 PM (ET)**

AGENDA

- 11:00** **Welcome & Introductions**
NANPA's Role & Responsibilities
Minutes & Statements for the Record
Industry Guidelines/Relief Alternatives
Review Code Assignment History
Re-cap Pre-IPD Conference Call
Review Initial Planning Document
- 12:30** **Lunch**
- 1:30** **Additional Relief Alternatives**
Identify Pros & Cons of Alternatives
Elimination of Alternatives
Consensus on Recommended Relief Alternative
Consensus on Dialing Plan
Consensus on Implementation Intervals
Statements for the Record
Consensus on NANPA Filing Industry Efforts with
Indiana URC
- Set Date for Conference Call to Review Meeting Notes**
 and Draft Petition
- 4:00** **Adjourn**

INDUSTRY CONSENSUS PROCESS

1/3/2006

ATIS OPERATING PROCEDURES

VERSION 4.0

7 RESOLUTION PROCESS

7.1 Consensus

Consensus is the method used by the ATIS Forums and Committees to reach resolution of Issues, unless specifically otherwise provided for in these Operating Procedures or in the “ATIS Procedures for the Development of an American National Standard”.

Consensus is established when substantial agreement has been reached among those participating in the Issue at hand. Substantial agreement means more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimous agreement.

Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution. Under some circumstances, consensus is achieved when the minority no longer wishes to articulate its objection. In other cases, the opinions of the minority should be recorded with the report of the substantial agreement, or consensus, of the majority.

When there are questions or disputes regarding consensus, leaders or participants should ask an objecting participant(s) to state the rationale for the objection and provide an opportunity for full discussion aimed at achieving full understanding and consideration of the objection.

A participant’s silence is perceived as agreement by the committee and its leadership. If participants do not agree, they should be encouraged to speak up and voice their opinion.

Indiana
NPA 812 NXX Summary
Data as of February 23, 2006

<u>NPA</u>	<u>812</u>					
Assigned NXXs	682					
Protected NXXs	0					
Reserved NXXs	0					
Unavailable NXXs	15	See Note				
Available NXXs	103					
Total	800					
<u>Codes Assigned NPA 812</u>	<u>Jan-03</u>	<u>Feb-03</u>	<u>Mar-03</u>	<u>Apr-03</u>	<u>May-03</u>	<u>Jun-03</u>
	7	0	3	0	1	3
	<u>Jul-03</u>	<u>Aug-03</u>	<u>Sep-03</u>	<u>Oct-03</u>	<u>Nov-03</u>	<u>Dec-03</u>
	4	1	2	2	0	0
	<u>Jan-04</u>	<u>Feb-04</u>	<u>Mar-04</u>	<u>Apr-04</u>	<u>May-04</u>	<u>Jun-04</u>
	2	3	0	0	0	0
	<u>Jul-04</u>	<u>Aug-04</u>	<u>Sep-04</u>	<u>Oct-04</u>	<u>Nov-04</u>	<u>Dec-04</u>
	0	3	0	0	0	2
	<u>Jan-05</u>	<u>Feb-05</u>	<u>Mar-05</u>	<u>Apr-05</u>	<u>May-05</u>	<u>Jun-05</u>
	1	2	4	2	0	0
	<u>Jul-05</u>	<u>Aug-05</u>	<u>Sep-05</u>	<u>Oct-05</u>	<u>Nov-05</u>	<u>Dec-05</u>
	2	0	1	1	0	0
	<u>Jan-06</u>	<u>Feb-06</u>	<u>Mar-06</u>			
	3	2*				
* As of February 23, 2006						
Note: Unavailable indicates codes that are unavailable for assignment. These codes include, but are not limited to, test and special use codes (e.g., 958, 959, 555, time), N11 and other unique codes (e.g., 976, 950), codes set aside for pooling, and codes with special dialing arrangements (e.g., 7-digit dialing across NPA boundary).						

POOLING STATISTICS REPORT		
<i>Provided By: Tim Booth</i>		
ST/NPA:	Indiana 812 NPA	
MEETING DATE:		
MEETING SUBJECT:		
	<i>Relief Planning</i>	Yes
	<i>Jeopardy</i>	
	<i>Jeopardy Status Review</i>	
	<i>UA NXXs</i>	
	<i>Other</i>	
POOL START DATE (PSD)		
RATE CENTERS		
	<i># Total</i>	171
	<i># Mandatory</i>	41
	<i># Mandatory-Single Service Providers (M*)</i>	7
	<i># Optional</i>	97
	<i># Excluded</i>	26
BLOCKS ASSIGNED		
	<i># Total</i>	204
	<i>(For the Last Twelve Months - 02/24/05 thru 02/23/06)</i>	
BLOCKS AVAILABLE		
	<i>#Total</i>	2143
	<i>(As of preparation date: 02/23/2006)</i>	
CODES ASSIGNED		
	<i># Total</i>	14
	<i># for Pool Replenishment</i>	2
	<i># for Dedicated Customers</i>	0
	<i># for LRNs</i>	12
	<i>(For the Last Twelve Months - 02/24/05 thru 02/23/06)</i>	
CODES FORECASTED		
	<i># Total</i>	3
	<i># for Pool Replenishment and Dedicated Customers</i>	2
	<i># for LRNs</i>	1
	<i>(For Twelve Months: Feb 2006 through Feb 2007)</i>	

**INITIAL PLANNING DOCUMENT
FOR
RELIEF OF THE
INDIANA - 812 NPA**

NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN ADMINISTRATION

**WAYNE MILBY
SENIOR NPA RELIEF PLANNER**

February 27, 2006

812 NPA Background Information

General Facts:

Indiana became the 19th state in the union on December 11, 1816. The word Indiana, which was coined by congress in 1802, was chosen in honor of the diverse native population that lived in the area at the time of its discovery. On July 1, 2004 the population of the state was 6,237,569 compared to a population of 6,080,517 on April 1, 2000. Indiana encompasses an area of 36,420 square miles. Ohio to the east, Illinois to the west, Michigan to the north, and Kentucky to the south border it. The state flower is the Peony and the state bird is the Cardinal. For an in depth overview of Indiana's rich history, geography, demographics, economy, government and culture see <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indiana>

Indiana currently has 6 NPAs. The 812 NPA was created in January 1947 and has never required area code relief.

The 812 NPA covers the southern third of the entire state of Indiana. Four of the top twenty cities in the state are located in the 812 area code. They are Columbus, Evansville, New Albany and Terre Haute. After achieving statehood Indiana became a state known for its firsts. There were several new ideologies found in early Indiana, the most notable of which was the town of New Harmony, located in the 812 area code. The town was built by German Lutheran separatists that believed hard work and prayer were the keys to success. The town thrived on this philosophy for ten years. At that time the town's people sold the town and moved to another state to begin their third and final community. The town of New Harmony then became the utopian dream of Robert Owen. Owen turned New Harmony, Indiana into a gathering place for scientists, educators and writers. It was the birthplace to such community focused ideas as kindergarten, free public schools that allowed both girls and boys to attend and free public libraries. Today, New Harmony is still a small community with high educational values.

Trivia: The first place to serve tomato juice was in French Lick, Indiana.

General Facts and Assumptions

The October 2005 NRUF NPA Exhaust Projection is 1Q2009 and reflects an annualized central office code growth of 32 NXX codes or 2.7 codes per month for the 812 NPA.

During 2005 the total code assignment was 13 codes or 1.1 codes per month.

- Assignment History: 2003 – 23 assigned; 2004 – 10 assigned

There are 48 code holders in the 812 NPA

The 812 NPA has 171 rate centers: 79 rate centers have one NXX code assigned, 29 rate centers have two NXX codes assigned and one rate center has 76 codes assigned (Evansville).

Thousand-Block-Number Pooling started in February 2003. There are 41 rate centers that have mandatory pooling, 7 single service provider mandatory rate centers, 97 rate centers that have optional pooling and 26 rate centers that are excluded from pooling.

As of February 23, 2006, the 812 NPA has 103 codes available and 15 unavailable codes.

The 812 NPA is in the 330, 338, 462, 922 and 938 LATAs. Fourteen of the 812 NPA rate centers are in the Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN MSA, six rate centers are in the Indianapolis, IN MSA and twenty-eight rate centers are in the Louisville, KY-IN MSA.

Dialing Procedures for 812 NPA

Type of call	Call terminating to	Dialing plan
Local call	Home NPA (HNPA)	7-digits (NXX-XXXX)
	Foreign NPA (FNPA)	10-digits (NPA-NXX-XXXX)
Toll call	HNPA or FNPA	1 + 10-digits (1 + NPA-NXX-XXXX)
Operator Services Credit card, collect, third party	HNPA or FNPA	0 + 10-digits (0 + NPA-NXX-XXXX)

Appendix E
General Attributes of Common Relief Alternatives

Geographic Splits

Overlays

Concentrated Growth Overlay

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Splits provide a single area code for each geographic area. This may minimize confusion for customers outside the area. Implementation is generally understood. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With an overlay there will be more than one area code in a geographic area. Where the overlay is a new concept some customer education is desirable. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special and unique monitoring methods, not currently available, are required for exhaust for the area outside of the concentrated overlay, and it is difficult to predict the exhaust of the area outside of the concentrated overlay area.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Splits require an area code change for approximately one-half of customers in a two-way split, and two-thirds of customers in a three-way split. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overlay will not require existing customers to change their area code. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normally, no existing customers will be required to change their telephone number.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geographic splits permit 7-digit dialing within an area code. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overlay requires customers to dial 10 digits (or 1 + 10 digits) for all calls. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A concentrated growth overlay minimizes implementation of 10 digit dialing for customers
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stationery, business cards and advertising containing a ten-digit phone number will need to be revised by customers receiving the new area code. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no need to revise stationery, business cards and advertising unless they contain only seven digit phone numbers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer confusion pertaining to dialing for a concentrated overlay could exist.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Future splits will reduce the geographic size of the area code.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• An overlay will end further shrinking of the geographic size of the area code because subsequent relief will likely be another overlay.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In order to preserve codes, the NPA must be identified as needing relief and the relief plan needs to be approved much earlier in order to preserve enough codes to serve the non-concentrated overlay area.
--	--	---



POPULATED PLACES


- 500,000 - 999,999 • Indianapolis
- 100,000 - 499,999 • Fort Wayne
- 25,000 - 99,999 • Lafayette
- 24,999 and less • Shelbyville
- State capital ★ Indianapolis

TRANSPORTATION

- Interstate: limited access highway
- Other principal highway
- Railroad

PHYSICAL FEATURES

- Streams
- Lakes
- Highest elevation in state (feet) - 1257
- The lowest elevation in Indiana is 320 feet above sea level (Ohio River)



NPA 812 Rate Center Map



NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries

NPA 812 County Map



NPA 812 Map Legend

-  NPA Boundaries
-  County Boundaries

INDIANA 812 NPA

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>POPULATION 2004</u>	<u>POPULATION, PERCENT CHANGE 2000 TO 2004</u>
BARTHOLOMEW	72987	2.2%
BROWN	15228	2.6%
CLARK	100706	4.4%
CLAY	27210	2.4%
CRAWFORD	11167	3.9%
DAVISS	30245	1.4%
DEARBORN	48583	5.3%
DECATUR	24970	1.7%
DUBOIS	40771	2.8%
FLOYD	71543	1.0%
FRANKLIN	*22852	3.2%
GIBSON	33286	2.4%
HARRISON	36376	6.0%
JACKSON	41959	1.5%
JEFFERSON	32110	1.3%
JENNINGS	28401	3.1%
JOHNSON	*125864	9.2%
KNOX	38442	-2.1%
LAWRENCE	46398	1.0%
MARTIN	10467	0.9%
MONROE	121013	0.4%
MORGAN	*69424	4.1%
OHIO	5849	4.0%
ORANGE	19718	2.1%
OWEN	23074	5.9%
PERRY	18999	0.5%
PIKE	12938	0.8%
POSEY	26990	-0.3%
PUTNAM	*36786	2.1%
RIPLEY	27549	3.9%
RUSH	*18028	-1.3%
SCOTT	23604	2.8%
SHELBY	*43717	0.6%
SPENCER	20310	-0.4%
SWITZERLAND	9508	4.9%
VANDEBURGH	173157	0.7%
VIGO	103195	-2.5%
WARRICK	55465	5.9%
WASHINGTON	27882	2.4%
State of Indiana	6237569	2.6%

* Only a portion of the county is in the 812 NPA

NPA 812 Rate Center and County Map



- NPA 812 Map Legend**
-  NPA Boundaries
 -  Rate Center Boundaries
 -  County Boundaries

NPA 812 Rate Center & LATA Map



- NPA 812 Map Legend**
-  NPA Boundaries
 -  Rate Center Boundaries
 -  LATA Boundaries

RATE CENTER TABLE

STATE:IN								
NPA:812								
LERG Date:11/01/05								
Count of NXX	CATEGORY							
RC FULL NAME	CLEC	GENERAL	ICO	PCS	RBOC	WIRELESS	(blank)	Grand Total
ALFORDSVILLE GLENDALE				1				1
AURORA				1			1	2
AUSTIN	1					1		2
BANDON				1				1
BATESVILLE	3					3	2	8
BEDFORD	3		1		1	2	5	12
BICKNELL	1					2	1	4
BIRDSEYE						1		1
BLOOMFIELD	2						2	4
BLOOMINGTON	9		1		2	16	18	46
BOONVILLE	3					1	1	5
BRAZIL						5	1	6
BROWNSTOWN						1		1
BRUCEVILLE						1		1
BUTLERVILLE						1		1
CAMPBELLSBURG						1		1
CANAAN				1				1
CENTER POINT						1		1
CENTRAL						1		1
CHANDLER	2							2
CHARLESTOWN	1					2	1	4
CHRISNEY	1					1		2
CLAY CITY	1					1		2
CLEARSPRING						1		1
COLUMBUS	6		1		1	10	9	27
CORY						1		1
CORYDON	2				2	2	2	8
CRANDALL						1		1
CRANE						1		1
CROSS PLAINS				1			1	2
CROTHERSVILLE						1		1
CYNTHIANA	1					1	1	3
DALE	2					1	3	6
DECKER						1		1
DILLSBORO				1				1
DUBOIS						1		1
DUGGER						1		1
EAST ENTERPRISE				1				1
EDINBURGH	1					1	1	3
ELBERFELD	1					1	1	3
ELIZABETH						1		1
ELIZABETHTOWN	1							1
ELLETTSVILLE				2				2
ELNORA				1				1
ENGLISH	1					1	1	3
EVANSVILLE	14		1		4	31	26	76
FAIRBANKS	1					2	1	4
FARMERSBURG	1					2		3
FERDINAND						1		1
FLAT ROCK	1				1	1		3
FORT BRANCH	1					2	1	4
FRANCISCO						1		1
FREDERICKSBURG						1		1
FREELANDVILLE	2					1		3
FREETOWN						1		1
FRENCH LICK				2			1	3
FRITCHTON						1		1
GALENA						1		1
GEORGETOWN						2	1	3
GOSPORT				1				1
GRAYSVILLE						1		1
GREENSBURG	3				1	3	3	10
GRIFFIN				1				1
GUILFORD				1				1
HANOVER	1					2		3
HAYSVILLE	1					1		2
HAZLETON	1					1	1	3
HELTONVILLE						1		1
HENRYVILLE						1	1	2

RATE CENTER TABLE

HOLLAND			1				1
HOPE	1						1
HUNTINGBURG					1		1
HYMERA			1				1
JASONVILLE	1						1
JASPER	2				3	5	10
LACONIA					1		1
LAKE MONROE			1				1
LAMAR			1				1
LANESVILLE					1		1
LAWRENCEBURG	2		3	1		2	8
LEAVENWORTH					1		1
LEWIS					1	1	2
LEXINGTON					1		1
LINTON	1				1	3	5
LOOGOOTEE					1	2	3
LYNNVILLE					1	1	2
LYONS			2				2
MACKEY					1		1
MADISON	2			1	2	3	8
MARENGO					1		1
MCCUTCHANVILLE	2				2		4
MEDORA					1		1
MEROM					1	1	2
MILAN					1		1
MILLTOWN					1		1
MITCHELL					1		1
MONROE CITY	1				1	1	3
MONTGOMERY			2			1	3
MOORES HILL			1			1	2
MORGANTOWN	1				1		2
MOUNT VERNON	3				3		6
NAPOLEON			1				1
NASHVILLE	1				1		2
NEW ALBANY	4			3	15	4	26
NEW HARMONY	2				1	1	4
NEW MIDDLETOWN					1		1
NEW WASHINGTON	2				1		3
NEWBURGH	3				3	1	7
NORTH VERNON	1				3	2	6
OAKLAND CITY					1		1
OAKTOWN	1				3	1	5
ODON			1				1
ORLEANS	2				1	1	4
OWENSBURG			2				2
OWENSVILLE	1				2		3
PALMYRA					1		1
PAOLI					1		1
PATOKA	1				1		2
PATRICKSBURG			1				1
PATRIOT			1				1
PEKIN			1				1
PEORIA			2				2
PETERSBURG	2					4	8
PLAINVILLE			1				1
POLAND			1				1
POSEYVILLE			1				1
PRAIRIE CREEK					1		1
PRINCETON	2				3	4	9
RAMSEY					1		1
REDDINGTON	1				1		2
RILEY					1	1	2
RISING SUN	1		2				3
ROCKPORT	1				1	1	3
SALEM	2				2	3	7
SAN JACINTO			1				1
SANDBORN			1				1
SANDRIDGE	1				1		2
SANTA CLAUS			1				1
SCIPIO					1		1
SCOTTSBURG	2			1	2	2	7
SELLERSBURG	1				2		3
SEYMOUR	3			2	3	13	21
SHELBY					1		1

RATE CENTER TABLE

SHOALS	1				1			2
SMITHVILLE			2					2
SOLITUDE	2							2
SPENCER	2					2	2	6
SPURGEON					1			1
ST ANTHONY					1			1
ST JOSEPH	1				1			2
ST LEON			1					1
ST MARKS			1					1
ST MEINRAD			1					1
ST PHILIP	1			1	1			3
STANFORD			2					2
SULLIVAN	2				1		1	4
SUNMAN			1	1				2
TELL CITY	2				2		2	6
TENNYSON	2							2
TERRE HAUTE	3			2	26		15	46
TRINITY WILLIAMS			1					1
VERSAILLES	2				1		2	5
VEVAY	1		2					3
VINCENNES	2			1	5		7	15
WADESVILLE			1					1
WASHINGTON	3				2		3	8
WEST HARRISON	2		2					4
WESTPORT					1			1
WHEATLAND	1				2			3
WICKLIFFE			1					1
WORTHINGTON	2				1			3
(blank)								
Grand Total	147	4	59	25	259	175		669

CODE HOLDER TABLE

STATE:IN									
NPA:812									
LERG Date:11/01/05									
Count of NXX	CATEGORY								
OCN_NAME	CLEC	GENERAL	ICO	PCS	RBOC	WIRELESS	(blank)	Grand Total	
AMERITECH INDIANA						115		115	
AMERITECH MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - OH							1	1	
ARCH WIRELESS HOLDINGS, INC.							3	3	
AT&T LOCAL		4						4	
BELLSOUTH MOBILITY, LLC - KY							29	29	
BLUE LICENSES HOLDING, LLC							11	11	
BLUERIVER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. - IN		9						9	
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP DBA VERIZON WIRELESS - IN							68	68	
CENTURYTEL OF ODOM, INC.				1				1	
CINCINNATI BELL, INC.				4				4	
CINERGY COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY - IN		33						33	
CLAY COUNTY RURAL TEL COOP, INC. DBA CCRTC				2				2	
COMCAST PHONE OF CENTRAL INDIANA, LLC - IN		3						3	
COMMUNICATIONS CORP. OF INDIANA				1				1	
COMMUNICATIONS CORP. OF SOUTHERN INDIANA				3				3	
DAVISS MARTIN CTY RURL TEL DBA RTC COMMUNICATIONS				5				5	
INDIANA PAGING NTWK							1	1	
INSIGHT PHONE OF INDIANA, LLC - IN		11						11	
INSIGHT PHONE OF KENTUCKY, LLC - KY		3						3	
KMC TELECOM V, INC.-IN		5						5	
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - IN		57						57	
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - KY		1						1	
MCI WORLDCOM COMMUNICATIONS, INC. - IN		5						5	
METROCALL							3	3	
MILES COMMUNICATIONS INC. DBA ENHANCED TELECOMM CO		2						2	
NETWORK SERVICES LLC (TSR)							2	2	
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.							5	5	
NEXTEL PARTNERS OPERATING CORP.							11	11	
OMNIPPOINT COMMUNICATIONS MIDWEST OPERATIONS LLC					4			4	
PERRY - SPENCER RURAL TELEPHONE COOP., INC.				6				6	
POWERTEL KENTUCKY LICENSES, INC.					4			4	
S & W TELEPHONE CO., INC.				1				1	
SBC INTERNET SERVICES, INC.			4					4	
SEI DATA, INC.		1						1	
SIGECOM, LLC		6						6	
SMITHVILLE TELEPHONE CO., INC.				16				16	
SOUTHEASTERN INDIANA RURAL TELEPHONE COOP., INC.				4				4	
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P.					6			6	
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. - IN					11			11	
SUNMAN TELEPHONE CO., INC.				3				3	
TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS AXS OF CINCINNATI, OH		2						2	
UNITED TELEPHONE CO. OF INDIANA, INC.				12				12	
US LEC COMMUNICATIONS INC. - IN		1						1	
US XCHANGE OF INDIANA LLC DBA CHOICE ONE COMM IN		4						4	
VERIZON NORTH INC.-IN						40		40	
VERIZON NORTH INC.-IN (CONTEL)						104		104	
WASHINGTON COUNTY RURAL TELEPHONE COOP., INC.				1				1	
WESTEL - INDIANAPOLIS CO.							41	41	
(blank)									
Grand Total		147	4	59	25	259	175	669	

812 NPA - RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

INDIANA

Numbering Plan Area Born on Date: January 1947

NPA RELIEF PLANING TOOL ASSUMPTIONS

NRUF DATE..... October 2005
PROJECTED EXHAUST DATE.....1Q2009
ANNUALIZED CODE DEMAND PROJECTION.....32
MONTHLY CO CODE DEMAND PROJECTION.....2.7
LOCAL EXCHANGE ROUTING GUIDE DATA.....November 2005

NPA RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

OVERLAY ALTERNATIVE

ALTERNATIVE #1 – ALL SERVICES DISTRIBUTED OVERLAY

A new NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area occupied by the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected area would be required. Codes in the overlay NPA will be assigned upon request with the effective date of the new area code. At exhaust of the 812 NPA all future code assignments will be made in the overlay area code.

Total CO Codes = 669
Total rate centers = 171
Area Code Life in Years = 25

NPA SPLIT ALTERNATIVES

The exhausting NPA is split into two or more geographic areas and a new NPA is assigned to one of the areas formed by the split. All split plans would require ten-digit dialing between NPAs in the same extended local calling area. Within an NPA, seven-digit dialing would be permitted.

ALTERNATIVE #2 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from north to south. The boundary line runs west of Gosport, Ellettsville, Stanford, Bedford, Mitchell, Orleans, Paoli, English and Leavenworth.

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 365
Total rate centers = 92
Area Code Life in Years = 21

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 304
Total rate centers = 79
Area Code Life in Years = 29

812 NPA - RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

ALTERNATIVE #3 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from west to east. The boundary line runs south of Oakton, Lyons, and turns west of Elnora, Plainville, and Montgomery. The boundary line continues south of Loogootee, Shoals, French Lick, Paoli, and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington.

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 354
Total rate centers = 90
Area Code Life in Years = 22

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 315
Total rate centers = 81
Area Code Life in Years = 28

ALTERNATIVE #4 – NPA SPLIT

The proposed boundary line runs along rate center boundaries from west to east. The boundary line runs south of Oakton, Lyons, Owensburg, and turns west of Crane, Trinity Williams, Shoals and Dubois. The boundary line continues south of French Lick, Paoli, and turns north of Campbellsburg, Salem, Pekin, Henryville and New Washington.

AREA “A”

Total CO Codes = 341
Total rate centers = 82
Area Code Life in Years = 23

AREA “B”

Total CO Codes = 328
Total rate centers = 89
Area Code Life in Years = 26

CONCENTRATED OVERLAY ALTERNATIVE

A new NPA code would be assigned to only certain rate centers in the same geographic area occupied by the existing 812 NPA. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing would be required by all customers within and between NPAs in the affected rate areas. Codes in the overlay NPA will be assigned upon request with the effective date of the new area code. Those customers in the non-overlaid area of the 812 NPA would retain seven-digit dialing until the remaining 812 NXX codes were assigned and the overlay would then be extended to all rate centers in the 812 NPA. All remaining 812 NXX codes would be assigned only to the non-overlaid area. At exhaust of the 812 all future code assignments will be made in the overlay area code.

ALTERNATIVE #5 – CONCENTRATED OVERLAY

This would be a concentrated-overlay distributed across only the rate centers in Area A. The proposed boundary for the concentrated overlay area runs along rate center boundaries from west to east, then north to south. The boundary line runs north of Bruceville, Bicknell, Plainville and turns east of Montgomery, Alfordsville Glendale, Dubois, Birdseye, St Anthony, and Bandon. The overlay area inside Area A includes 56 rate centers, with Evansville having the greatest number of NXX codes. It was assumed for the calculation of these lives that the concentrated overlay will be introduced in the next twelve months.

Total CO Codes = 240

Total rate centers = 56

Years before Expansion of Overlay: 3.5

Area Code Life in Years = 25

812 NPA - RELIEF ALTERNATIVES

INDIANA

PROJECTED LIVES OF RELIEF ALTERNATIVES IN YEARS

Alternative	Area A	Area B	Overlay
#1	-	-	25
#2	21	29	-
#3	22	28	-
#4	23	26	-
#5	-	3.5	25

Alternative #1 All Services Distributed Overlay



ALTERNATIVE #1	PROJECTED LIVES
Area "A"	25 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries

NPA 812 Rate Center Map

Alternative #2 NPA Split



ALTERNATIVE_#2	PROJECTED_LIVES
Area "A"	21 Years
Area "B"	29 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

NPA 812 Rate Center Map

Alternative #3 NPA Split



ALTERNATIVE_#3	PROJECTED_LIVES
Area "A"	22 Years
Area "B"	28 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

Alternative #4 NPA Split




ALTERNATIVE_#	PROJECTED_LIVES
Area "A"	23 Years
Area "B"	26 Years

NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

Alternative #5 Concentrated Overlay



PROJECTED_EXHAUST
25 years

3.5 years before Overlay Expansion


NPA 812 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

VERIFICATION

I, John C. Manning, as Senior Director of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator of Neustar, Inc., affirm under penalties of perjury that the foregoing **Petition of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator on Behalf of the Indiana Telecommunications Industry for Relief of the 812 NPA** is true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed this 1st day of August 2012:


John C. Manning

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kimberly Wheeler Miller, Assistant General Counsel and counsel of record for Neustar, Inc., certify that a copy of the **Petition of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator on Behalf of the Indiana Telecommunications Industry for Relief of the 812 NPA** was served on the following party on this 1st day of August, 2012 via U.S. First Class Mail.

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
PNC Center
115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204


Kimberly Wheeler Miller